

# CommonSensing project Independent Midline Evaluation

## Management Response

#### C2018.TARSA027.UK Space Agency

September 2020

Geneva, Switzerland

Name of programme/office/unit:	UNITAR Operational Satellite Application	ons ProgrammeUNOSAT
Name of programme manager/director	Einar Bjorgo	
Name of project undertaking	CommonSensing	C2018.TARSA027.UK Space Agency
Name of evaluation	Midline evaluation	
Date:	15.09.2020	

SECTION I – Comments on Findings, Conclusions

The midline evaluation and cost-effectiveness analysis confirmed that CommonSensing project is highly relevant to the Pacific context and efficiently support governments in improving climate resilience. Also, the expected project impact will bring great added value to the national strategic planning in regard of Climate Change Adaptation (CCA) and Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR). To ensure the project's valued role in closing the existing gap between Earth Observation (EO) data and policy making, improved stakeholder engagement and coordinated communication are called for. This will be of focus

moving forward. CommonSensing project management accepts the recommendations below proposed by the independent evaluator to achieve the intended outcomes and impact.

5	ECTION II - RECOMMENDATIONS						
			Mana	gement Response a	and Planned Ac	1	
	Recommendation	Accepted Partially Accepted Rejected		Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status after 6 months (planned, under implementation, implemented)
	. UNITAR and Catapult should establish a mechanism to ensure the overall complementarity and coherence of activities and outputs so the results chain can deliver the expected intermediate and final outcomes. This could be done by adopting an approach based on phases; however, the project may be in a too advanced stage of execution to do so. Alternatively, project partners could take a more strategic approach in setting the weekly and monthly meetings, where the timing and sequencing of delivery of the different activities are discussed. This could be a great opportunity to also discuss the pertinence of delivering the activity at this stage or wait for another activity or the need to advance the delivery of other	Accepted	•	Amend structure of partner meetings from round table 'gallop' to 'deep dive' to enhance coherence and complementarity. Invite inclusion from partners to cover strategic and delivery priorities. Move the meeting to the morning to allow attendance by colleagues in the South Pacific Better align project comms through a single point to		Under implementation	

	Recommendation	Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status after 6 months
but r abou linkir resu prom both over upda imple take mee	ather finding a way to learn at what partners are doing and by the work, activities and lts. This could be facilitated or noted by the project manager at organisations who have a good view of the project and are ated on the activities' ementation. Time could also be n to draft briefings/minutes of the tings and share them with the r partners.	who are in differer	mments: ogress updates on the shar nt time zones can access to			Climate finance advisors not yet in place, however tasks may be taken on by in-country experts instead.
strer inclu each done exar links the r	agthen information-sharing, ding information about what a partner is doing and how this is be. This could be done, for nple, by promoting direct lines or among project partners beyond nonthly meetings. It should not essarily be about more meetings,	Accepted	status update report for regular updates per organization. PMs of UNITAR and Catapult to collate and share a link to the consortium via sharepoint bi-weekly.		Fiameu	
2. UNI <sup>-</sup>	Recommendation	Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status after 6 months (planned, under implementation, implemented)
with com be d	ities. The way to communicate the interlocutor in the delivery of plementary activities should also iscussed to avoid double anels of information.	and create more s	nunication strategy has bee synergies. Regular consortiu eedback, address risk and c	um meetings will p	provide space to	

					(planned, under implementation, implemented)
3.	UNITAR and Catapult should further strengthen relationships, communication and visibility with beneficiary institutions and the most relevant development partners or at least with those who show interest. Participation in coordination forums such as the Pacific Resilience Partnership task force technical working group within the Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat (now co- shared by GIZ) should be explored as a channel for communication, coordination and visibility within the development community in the different existing forums. This may require that the local focal point is involved in communication and visibility actions and receives some guidance since this role is presently rather operational.	Accepted	<ul> <li>Update the Stakeholder Engagement Workstream analysis to identify organisations and individuals who can support CommonSensing impact and sustainability goals.</li> <li>Align the above with the Communication Workstream to define tactical communication activities</li> <li>Broaden our perspective on communication engagement policies to identify and deliver targeted communications to individuals and organisations.</li> <li>Augment our 'push' (informing) communications with 'pull' (equipping) communications mediated through</li> </ul>	Under implementation	

		that are tailored to	social channels and direct engagements. mments: ication strategy will suggest each stakeholder groups' i in from local stakeholders a	nterest and influe	nce. It will contribute	
	Recommendation	Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status after 6 months (planned, under implementation, implemented)
4.	UNITAR and Catapult should strengthen external communication and visibility of the project's results. This could include, for example, strengthening the links and information-sharing between M&E results and communication and visibility WPs to elaborate case	Accepted	Please see response 3 above. We recognise that we need to develop new communication models, channels and artifacts with clear calls to action to improve project achievements.		Planned	
	studies, stories of change or simply project achievements. It is thus encouraged to implement the communications plan and to agree on roles among the project partners in reaching out to wider audiences in sharing project achievements.					

	Recommendation	Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status after 6 months (planned, under implementation, implemented)
5.	UNITAR and Catapult should elaborate case studies to deepen information on gender issues and the potential of women of becoming drivers of change in the sector.	Accepted	We recognise the intersectional variability of climate change and disaster risks on different demographics in the South Pacific and have moved to addressing these issues directly in our communications, for example in the UNGA climate justice Turning the Tide event. This focus on intersectional impacts will be a pilar of our 'pull' model of communications delivery for the remainder of the project and will augment the existing 'push' model targeting non- intersectional organisations and demographics.		Under implementation	
		Additionally, these	e plans include further discu ise in broadening understan			

	Recommendation	Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status after 6 months (planned, under implementation, implemented)
6.	UNITAR and Catapult should review the outcome indicators 5 to 10. This may include: 1) Merging or deleting indicators that might be tracking the same or similar information, which will help to better monitor project performance and map specific outcomes; and 2) Including intermediate outcome indicators in order to fill the current gap between outputs and more general outcomes, and include qualitative indicators complementary to the current ones as suggested by the baseline evaluation (e.g. those related to policy changes or to learning outcomes) and in order to track better changes and specific results achieved (e.g. policy or behavioural changes) in the field.	Accepted	<ul> <li>Outcome level indicators have been amended according to the midline's suggestions</li> <li>An additional indicator on objective assessments has been created to ensure knowledge growth is properly monitored</li> <li>New output level indicators have been created to capture local engagement and the sustainability of the project's impact.</li> <li>The above changes to the logframe have been approved by Caribou</li> <li>Qualitative indicators are currently being reviewed</li> </ul>		Under implementation	

			s to the M&E Plan, logframe monSensing PM, and these			
	Recommendation	Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status after 6 months (planned, under implementation, implemented)
7.	UNITAR and Catapult should address the inconsistencies between the ToC and the log frame with particular attention to alignment with the outcome and impact of the log frame.	Accepted Management Co	The Theory of Change is being amended to ensure alignment with the log frame. It will be included in the updated M&E Plan, which will be circulated once it has received final approval from Caribou. This more logical results chain will help clearly see the intended outcomes and impacts of the project.		Under implementation	
		Please see response				
	Recommendation	Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status after 6 months (planned, under implementation, implemented)
8.	UNITAR and Catapult should develop a process or system where capacity development planning and assessment systems are integrated to promote individual and organisational learning and improvement strategies (e.g.	Accepted	• Offer continuous learning opportunies in the region through a training of trainers and partnerships with the local academia.	CS Knowledge Hub will be hosted on the CERN server, which will be covered by UNITAR in-	Under implementation	

							_
	developing learning paths, close		Create	kind contribution			
	beneficiary tracing up and follow up		'CommonSensing	contribution			
	coaching/mentoring). This could		Knowledge Hub', which				
	include, for example, developing a		is an online toolbox for				
	process promote learning		certified trainers,				
	environments, motivation and		government officials				
	incentives for systemic change and		and university students				
	ownership of the learning process		to promote interactive				
	and development process in the end.		learning environment.				
	Within this approach, project partners		Leverage				
	become real and genuine mentors		communities of				
	and not only managers of capacity		practices for peer-to-				
	development activities.		peer learning that will				
			enhance knowledge				
			exchanges and				
			colloabration.				
			Provide on-the-				
			job training upon				
			requests of line				
			•				
			ministries to help				
			improve institutional				
			capacity and				
			organisational				
			changes. In-country				
			technical experts and				
			climate finance				
			advisors will facilitate				
			activities.				
		Management Cor	mments:				
			ation has been integrated				
			ainability plans. Using Kirl				
		behaviours and ca	apability improvement (Leve	el 3) and/or perfo	rmance effects on the		
		organisational cha	ange (Level 4) can be asses	ssed, as part of a	legacy evaluation – a		
		year after the proj		•			
		,	•				
L	1	L				1	-

	Recommendation	Accepted Partially accepted Rejected	Planned action	Budget allocated (if necessary)	Status (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Update on status after 6 months (planned, under implementation, implemented)
9.	The TA in climate financing will not automatically make the project sustainable over time. Sustainability requires ownership, and ownership is built through engagement at the policy and political levels. UNITAR should improve engagement with government officials from line ministries as well as from prime ministers' office and/or cabinet. Actions on this recommendation could include, for example: • Increasing the transparency of the project with concerned ministries, including bi-directional communication and feedback conveying the progress and results achieved; • Following up on policy and budget processes. If it is expected that governments take up part of the costs of CS project liabilities, it is indispensable to engage with government at the policy level and influence the policy process and budget so the government allocates necessary human and financial resources to sustaining project results in the medium/long term as well as the protection of data. • Relying on a UK in-country representative to leverage efforts at		Please see response 3 above. mments: tatives in partner countries d improve buy-in from pote			

_		
	the political level for the sustainability	
	of the project. This would involve	
	updating high UK representatives in	
	Fiji, Solomon Islands and Vanuatu on	
	a regular basis on progress achieved	
	as well as of any other political	
	bottleneck that might undermine	
	project implementation.	