## PROJECT INDEPENDENT EVALUATION - MANAGEMENT RESPONSE

	Secretariat on behalf of Steering Committee, Participating Organizations (UNDP, GPA/UNITAR, NORCAP/NRC) and MPTF Office						
Name of programme manager and title:	ardo Appleyard, Acting Secretariat Lead on behalf of the Steering Committee						
Name of project undertaking:	rbonizing Humanitarian Energy Multi-Partner Trust Fund Project ID NA						
Donor:	German Federal Foreign Office (GFFO)						
Name of review:	Mid-term Review of the Decarbonizing Humanitarian Energy Multi-Partner Trust Fund						
Review Report issuance date:	August 2025						

## SECTION I - MANAGEMENT COMMENTS ON FINDINGS / CONCLUSSIONS

The MTR was conducted as part of the broader review and restructuring process of the DHE MPTF initiated in April 2025. The MTR key findings, considerations, recommendations and conclusions further validate the decision to undertake this restructuring process, reaffirming that such measures were both timely and necessary considering the challenges, performance issues and limited progress observed since the Fund's inception.

This management response represents the joint position of the Steering Committee, Participating Organizations, the Secretariat, and the MPTF Office. It recognizes the MTR recommendations as an important input to the ongoing restructuring process, with broad consensus and commitment to strengthening the DHE's governance and stabilizing its Secretariat.

While the findings, considerations, recommendations and conclusions in the MTR report are generally accepted, some nuances and differing perspectives across DHE stakeholders are also reflected in this management response and comments included in the Audit Trail.

Overall, the DHE partners remain committed and focused on advancing and finalizing the restructuring process, applying the lessons learned, and building a more effective and responsive Fund.

SECTION II – REVIEW RECOMMENDATIONS			and the second of the second of the second of	42.2 million 11.200 mil	A White Strain passes	The second of the parties of	an the Reserved	A PARTY DE LA CASA
Management Response to Recommendations and Pla	nned Action Management							
	Response		CONTROL OF THE BEAUTIFUL CONTROL OF	Pl	anned action		Arthur en su	
Recommendation	(Accepted, Partially Accepted or Rejected)	Management response comments	Key action (s)	Responsible	Timeframe (to be implement ed by)	Information Source	Budget allocate d	Status at the time of completing the MR
Recommendation #1:  GFFO should maintain its commitment to the DHE MPTF. The original investment filled a critical funding gap, and the need for this support is arguably more pressing in 2025 than it was when the commitment was originally made in 2022. DHE offers a platform through which Germany can continue to be the leading international donor on energy transitions in fragile settings.	Accepted	The GFFO has played a crucial role in the establishment of the DHE MPTF by providing an initial contribution of USD 22 million in seed funding and by providing strategic guidance to the Fund through its participation as an active member of the Steering Committee. Ongoing support from the Federal Government of Germany is crucial for sustaining the Fund and attracting additional contributions to enable its expansion.  In its capacity as a Steering Committee member, the GFFO has expressed strong support for the ongoing review and restructuring of the DHE MPTF and has welcomed the progress achieved to date through this process. It stressed the importance of delivering a renewed Fund that is more effective and better positioned to achieve its intended outcomes, with a greater emphasis on energy access, while also underscoring the urgency of this work.  The GFFO has also expressed greater confidence in the DHE through improved Secretariat capacity and support for a longer-term staffing plan to further strengthen its effectiveness and stability (see recommendation #3).	S. approval of amended DHE ToR confirming support for the updated approach.      S. S. approval of programming cycle and projects.	GFFO / IKI SC Secretariat	Q1 2026	- SC meetings minutes & SC decisions.	n/a	Under implementati on
Recommendation #2:  The DHE Secretariat should be moved to a new host institution¹. The MTR validates the recent Steering Committee plan to host a meeting to discuss relocation of the Secretariat. Analysis is ongoing around which institution would be most appropriate, but MTR findings indicate that important characteristics include:  Previous experience of operating MPTF Secretariats Robust, efficient and timely administrative, recruitment and procurement processes Local presence in countries targeted by DHE In-house technical humanitarian and/or energy expertise would be beneficial, though not essential UNDP, UNOPS and UNCDF were the most commonly suggested institutions during the MTR. With all these institutions though, some concerns were raised around their developmental (as opposed to humanitarian) mandate and/or focus.	Accepted	The MTR recommendation to move the DHE Secretariat from UNITAR to a new host institution is consistent with earlier deliberations of the Steering Committee (SC).  In July 2025, the SC Chair requested the Secretariat to carry out a comparative analysis of hosting options with guidance from the MPTF Office and to convene an extraordinary SC meeting on 5 August 2025 to decide on a possible change of host. This resulted in the Steering Committee's decision to transfer the Secretariat to UNDP, the other Participating UN Organization (PUNO) of the DHE.  Steps are underway to operationalize this decision, with UNDP and the Secretariat preparing a Prodoc, budget, and necessary HR arrangements to enable the transition.  The transition is expected to be finalized in Q1 2026, with the core Secretariat team established at UNDP by January-February 2026 and the UNITAR Secretariat operationally closed by February 2026.	2.A. SC approval of Prodoc and budget for Secretariat under UNDP.     2.B. Set up of Engagement Facility at UNDP for operationalization of the Secretariat.     2.C. Recruitment of Secretariat personnel at UNDP.     2.D. Operational and financial closure of UNITAR Secretariat Project.	UNDP UNITAR Secretariat SC	Q1 2026 (Financial closure of Secretariat Project at UNITAR to be completed within the timeframe in the MoU)	New Secretariat Prodoc and budget      SC meeting minutes/de cisions      Project document for Engageme nt Facility at UNDP	n/a <sup>2</sup>	Under implementa on
Recommendation #3:  The DHE Secretariat should be stabilised with a core of fixed-term staffing. The managerial and technical capacity of the current Secretariat is robust, but all current personnel contracts are temporary and/or short-term in nature. This creates uncertainty not just for the	Accepted	At its extraordinary meeting on 5 August 2025, in conjunction with the decision to move the Secretariat to a new host (recommendation #2), the SC expressed strong support for strengthening the Secretariat's capacity and stability through longer-term contracts for core personnel. It mandated the development of a two-year Prodoc and budget (through December 2027) for the Secretariat, based on the proposed organizational chart presented during the SC meeting.	3.A. Transition of Secretariat to UNDP (see recommendations #2). 3.B. Updated Secretariat organizational chart, JDs and TORs for personnel.	UNDP Secretariat SC	Q1 2026	- New Secretariat Prodoc and budget - SC meeting	n/a <sup>3</sup>	Under implementa on

<sup>1</sup> Subsequent to the issuance of the recommendation in the draft report on 29 July 2025, the Steering Committee met on 5 August 2025 and decided to select a new host for the DHE Secretariat. It is understood that the recommendation has therefore been overtaken by events.

2 Costs related to the transition to UNDP to be borne by the Secretariat (under UNITAR Secretariat project). New Secretariat budget under UNDP to be included in the new Secretariat budget to be approved by the SC.

3 Costs related to the transition to UNDP to be borne by the Secretariat (under UNITAR Secretariat project). Staffing costs for Secretariat at UNDP to be included in the new Secretariat budget to be approved by the SC.

individuals but also for the continuity of the Secretariat's operation and – by extension – the DHE more broadly.			3.C. Recruitment of Secretariat personnel at UNDP.			minutes/de cisions - Project document for Engageme nt Facility at UNDP		
Recommendation #4:  The major UN humanitarian agencies should be invited to become full members of the Steering Committee. SC representation from at least UNHCR, IOM and WFP — and potentially also ICRC and other NGOs and institutions with relevant experience — would significantly increase the technical capacity and external credibility of DHE. While it may also be beneficial to incorporate these agencies as formal DHE partners, agency representatives should be invited to the SC regardless of whether an agency becomes a DHE partner. Moreover, the MTR expressly recommends that this representation be incorporated within the Steering Committee, and not through an additional governance of advisory body.  Consideration should be given to inviting technical rather than political or strategic representatives, for example each agency's lead on decarbonisation or energy access. Technical representatives are more likely to provide context-specific insight and direct experience with energy-related programming, all of which are essential for shaping credible and actionable SC decisions.	Partially Accepted	The DHE partners recognize the added value and expertise that humanitarian agencies can bring to the DHE MPTF and its Steering Committee (SC). The DHE seeks to onboard humanitarian agencies as Participating Organizations, contingent on SC approval, with initial engagements with prospective partners underway.  This being said, the MTR identified leadership and governance challenges as key contributing factors to the Fund's limited performance to date. Any potential expansion or changes to the SC composition should therefore be carefully planned with consideration of all practical implications, to ensure effective governance and decision-making, while avoiding unnecessary complexity during this critical transition period.  A well-functioning SC notably depends on a capable and stable Secretariat to organize and effectively support its work, as highlighted in the MTR findings #6 and #7 and conclusion #3. Therefore, priority will be given to completing the transition to a new Secretariat host (Recommendation #2) and stabilizing the Secretariat team (Recommendation #3) before any expansion of the SC (Recommendation #4) – This is aligned with the MTR's prioritization.  Once these foundations are in place, and alongside the onboarding of new Participating Organizations, the SC's composition and protocols will be revisited to ensure balanced, representative, and effective governance of the Fund. The Fund's ToR already includes provisions for such an expansion, but any changes will be contingent on SC approval.  In addition to humanitarian agencies, stakeholders from other relevant sectors (e.g., energy access, finance, the private sector, NGOs, government, regional representatives, and additional donors, etc.) may also be considered, with possible rotation arrangements to ensure diversity and balance. As energy access becomes a more central part of the DHE offer (see Recommendation #5), bringing in relevant expertise and representation from that sector will be particularly important.  DHE partners have also noted that	See recommendations #2 and #3.  4.A. Engagements with humanitarian agencies and other potential new Participating Organizations.  4.B. SC decision related to onboarding additional Participating Organization(s).  4.C. Onboarding of additional Participating Organization(s).  4.D. Updated ToR and MoP sections related to DHE governance, SC composition and protocols.  4.E. Expansion of SC, contingent on SC decision.  4.F. Establishment of Technical Advisory Board (or a similar multistakeholder panel of experts), contingent on SC decision.	Secretariat SC	Q4 2025 - Q2 2026	- SC Meetings Minutes - Agreement s with new Participati ng Organizati ons - DHE TOR - DHE MOP	n/a <sup>4</sup>	Under implementati on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Related costs covered under Secretariat budget.

Recommendation #5:	Partially	- "Maintain a focus on both decarbonization and energy access": Accepted –	5.A. Integration of MTR	Secretariat	Q4 2025-	- SC Meetings	n/a <sup>5</sup>	Under
Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already being taken, but MTR findings indicate that a restructured DHE should:  • Maintain a focus on both decarbonization and energy access  • Retain the MPTF modality, focusing in particular on using the modality to bring in new ideas, solutions and partners that deliver sustainable impact  • Strengthen localization and in-country oversight and implementation capacity  • Formally incorporate UNCDF's finance and derisking expertise  To support the process, the restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026. MPTFs typically have an inception phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current restructuring process essentially comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.	Accepted	This focus will be explicitly reflected in the updated Terms of Reference (ToR) and Theory of Change (ToC) of the Fund, with energy access becoming an integral, rather than a secondary, part of the DHE's offer.  - "Retain the MPTF modality, focusing in particular on using the modality to bring in new ideas, solutions and partners that deliver sustainable impact": Accepted — The updated DHE approach will seek to unlock the Fund's full potential by making better and more strategic use of the MPTF mechanism's unique features and benefits, to foster collaboration and partnerships, drive innovation, and catalyse additional public and private investment to scale up sustainable solutions.  - "Strengthen localization and in-country oversight and implementation capacity": Accepted — The DHE will support a portfolio of projects implemented at the country or regional level, designed to strengthen localization and in-country implementation capacity.  - "Formally incorporate UNCDF's finance and de-risking expertise": Accepted — In April 2025, the SC agreed that UNCDF may be brought on board as a consortium partner to support the development and operationalization of a derisking mechanism for the DHE — This decision would be confirmed by the SC at a later stage, once the updated DHE approach is better defined. In addition, at the request of the SC, UNDP engaged with UNCDF to prepare a roadmap for the development of a De-Risking Facility for the DHE submitted in June 2025.  Contingent on SC approval, UNCDF would join as a Participating Organization, contributing its finance and de-risking expertise to strategic decision-making and the design and deployment of innovative financing mechanisms under the DHE MPTF.  - "The restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026": Partially Accepted — At its extraordinary meeting on 5 August 2025, the SC agreed in principle to extend the timeline of the review and restructuring process in order to allow for sufficient time to take action in response to the MTR recommendations, i	recommendations and actions into the restructuring process and timeline by the Secretariat.  5.B. Reformulate and finalize updated ToC  5.C. Update ToR  5.D. Update MoP  5.E. SC deliberation and decision on onboarding new Participating Organizations, including UNCDF.  5.F. SC approval of extended timeline for the restructuring process.  5.G. Development of fast-track window to enable current Participating Organizations to develop projects and resume DHE activities promptly within 2026.	SC Participating Organization s (Programme partners)	Q1 2026	Meetings Minutes / decisions  - DHE ToR  - DHE MoP  - Agreement s with new Participati ng Organizati ons (UNCDF)		implementati
Recommendation #6:  Start approaching new potential contributors sooner rather than later. As DHE's renewed direction and structure crystallises and confidence rebuilds, efforts should be made to solicit interest and input from potential contributors. While this may seem premature at the moment, the opportunity to influence DHE's current change process is likely to be attractive to new donors, allowing them to improve the Fund's alignment with their priorities. Aside from securing additional resources, new contributors are likely to also improve DHE governance, and should take some pressure off GFFO.	Partially Accepted	The DHE agrees that engaging new contributors sooner rather than later is important to diversify and expand the Fund's resource base and strengthen governance.  At the same time, a stable and well-established Secretariat, led by a Head of Secretariat, is essential to act as a credible interlocutor with potential contributors and to build and maintain relationships over time. Therefore, the transition to a new host institution (Recommendation #2) and the stabilization of the Secretariat's core team (Recommendation #3) are necessary first steps – This is aligned with the MTR's prioritization.  Once these prerequisites are in place, the DHE will prioritize the development of a resource mobilization strategy and supporting materials that set out a clear vision, value proposition, and future direction of the Fund. This will enable outreach to new donors to be more coordinated and	See recommendations #2 and #3. 6.A. Hiring of a Programme Officer whose responsibilities will include supporting the Secretariat with strategic engagements, partnerships and resource mobilization. 6.B. Development and implementation of resource mobilization strategy.	Secretariat UNDP SC	Q2-Q3 2026	- Resource Mobilizatio n Strategy	n/a <sup>6</sup>	Planned

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Related costs covered under Secretariat budget.
<sup>6</sup> Related costs covered under Secretariat budget.

Recommendation #7:	Accepted	effective, while also allowing space for new contributors to help shape the next phase of the DHE.  Finally, as the DHE's programmatic activities resume under a renewed approach and begin delivering concrete outputs while demonstrating measurable progress and results, this is expected to help attract additional contributors.  The reformulation of the Theory of Change (ToC) and the development of a	7.A. Re-define problem,	Secretariat	Q4 2025-	- DHE ToR	n/a <sup>7</sup>	Under
Build a systematic approach to MEL, starting with reformulation of the TOC. The current TOC is poorly formulated, does not reflect a shared understanding of DHE's purpose and – in any case – the restructuring and refocusing of the Fund necessitates a revised TOC. The revision process (already initiated by the DHE) should also help to build consensus and clarity across DHE's stakeholders around the Fund's new direction. Strengthening of the DHE's approach to MEL (again, already initiated by the DHE) should include a focus on ensuring consistent collection of outcome and impact level data, and on building processes for adaptive management.		fit-for-purpose Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning (MEL) framework are integral components of the ongoing restructuring process.  A MEL consultant was hired by the DHE Secretariat (UNITAR) in July 2025 to support this work — A dedicated MEL Specialist will be recruited to the Secretariat once it transitions to UNDP.  The MEL consultant (and thereafter the MEL Specialist) will help establish a systematic MEL approach for the Fund, building capacity for data collection and reinforcing results-based and adaptive management practices.  The process is being undertaken in a participatory manner, with consultations involving key stakeholders to build consensus and clarity around the Fund's revised direction.	impact, goal and outcome statements.  7.B. Reformulate and finalize updated ToC to be included in the ToR and familianized with Steering Committee / Stakeholders.  7.C. Development of outcome indicators and any additional fund level metrics.  7.D. Recruitment of a MEL Specialist for the Secretariat under its new host institution, UNDP.  7.E. Develop and implement MEL guidelines across the Fund, to support Secretariat and Project-level implementation.	SC	Q2 2026	- DHE MoP - MEL Guidelines		implementati on

Name of Steering Committee Chair	Date	Signature
Evariste Karambizi, Director Division for Peace PTP, UNITAR	20.11.2025	

Name of Acting Secretariat Lead	Date	Signature
Eduardo Appleyard, Acting Secretariat Lead, DHE MPTF, UNITAR	20.11.2025	Signed by: Eduardo Appleyard

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Related costs covered under Secretariat budget.

		Para N	ianagement Kesp	onse to Recommen	dations and Planned Action Follow- up						
Recommendation	Management Response (Accepted, Partially Accepted or Rejected)	Management									
Rejected	response comments	Key action (s)	Status 6 months (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Comments	Status one year (planned, under implementation, implemented)	Comments					
	This column will be completed by PPME	This column will be completed by PPME	This column will be completed by PPME	This column will be completed after six months only	Write in this column any comments explaining, giving context or details on the actual status of the planned action	This column will be completed after one year only	Write in this column any comments explaining, giving context or details on the actual status of the planned action				
Recommendation 1: GFFO should maintain its commitment to the DHE MPTF. The original investment filled a critical funding gap, and the need for this support is arguably more pressing in 2025 than it was when the commitment was originally made in 2022. DHE offers a platform through which Germany can continue to be the leading international donor on energy transitions in fragile settings.  Recommendation 2: The DHE Secretariat should be moved to a new host institution <sup>8</sup> . The MTR validates the recent Steering Committee plan to host a meeting to discuss relocation of the Secretariat. Analysis is ongoing around which institution would be most appropriate, but MTR											

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The Steering Committee met on 05.08.2025 and hence after the draft report was submitted on 29.07.2025 and decided to select UNDP as the new host of the DHE Secretariat.

Previous experience of operating MPTF Secretariats				
Robust, efficient and timely administrative, recruitment and procurement processes				
Local presence in countries targeted by DHE				
In-house technical humanitarian and/or energy expertise would be beneficial, though not essential				
UNDP, UNOPS and UNCDF were the most commonly suggested institutions during the MTR. With all these institutions				
though, some concerns were raised around their developmental (as opposed to humanitarian) mandate and/or focus.				
Recommendation 3:				
The DHE Secretariat should be stabilised with a core of fixed-term staffing.				
The managerial and technical capacity of the current Secretariat is robust,				
but all current personnel contracts are temporary and/or short-term in nature.				
This creates uncertainty not just for the individuals but also for the continuity of the				
Secretariat's operation and  – by extension – the DHE more broadly.				
Recommendation 4: The major UN humanitarian agencies				
should be invited to become full members of the Steering Committee.				
SC representation from at least UNHCR, IOM and WFP – and potentially also				
ICRC and other NGOs and institutions with relevant experience – would				
110010				

significantly increase the technical capacity of the control of th					
technical capacity of DEE  sectional credibity of DEE  sectional control of DEE  partners, agency  beneficial to incorporate these agencies as formal DEE, partners, agency invited to the SC regardless of whether an agency becomes a DEE partner.  socrores a DEE partners, agency becomes a DEE partners, agency because a decided and agency a	significantly increase the				
external credibility of DHE.  While it may also be borefolded to incorporate DHE partners, agency representatives should be invited to the SC regarded to incorporate DHE partners. Moreover, the MTR accessive recommends that the partners of the partners o					
While It may also be beneficial to incorporate beneficial to be SC regardless should be invited by the SC regardless become a Delta Planters. Moreover, the MTR occressly recommend that the becomes a Delta Planters and the becomes a Delta Planters and more through an additional becomes a Delta Beneficial to the be					
beneficial to incorporate tose approach as formal toses approach as for					
these agencies as formal DHE partners, agency invited to the SC reparaties of of whether an agency becomes a DHE partner. Morecease the most of the partner of the partners of					
DHE pathers, agency representatives should be invited to this SC organization process should be invited to this SC organization becomes a DHE pather. Moreover, the MTR expressly recommends that the pathers of the pat	beneficial to incorporate				
invited to the SC regardless of which we will be invited to the SC regardless of whether an agency will be appropriated within the approach recommends that this representation be incorporated within the approach of the scale o					
invited to the SC repardless of whether an agency of whether an agency of whether an agency of whether and agency of the WTR expresentation be incorporated within the third to though an additional government of advisory body.  Consideration should be given to inviting technical rather than policial or strategic representatives, for on dearth-orientation or dearth-orientation or on dearth-orientation or one-may access. Technical representatives with energy-related programming, all of which are essential for additional programming and orientation or one-may access and particular than the control orientation or one-may access. Technical representatives are more likely to provide orientation or one-may access. Technical representatives are more likely to provide orientation or one-may access. Technical representatives are more likely to provide orientation or one-may access to the provide orientation or one-may access. Technical representation or one-may access to the provide orientation orientation or one-may access to the provide orientation orientatio					
of whether am agency becomes a DHE partner. Moreover, the MTR become a detectional becomes a developed, two reliables and not through an additional body.  Consideration should be given to inviting beaminated a strategic representatives, for example each agency's lead on decarbonisation or enemy scores. Technical likely to provide contents and the partners of the partne					
becomes a DHE patrier. Morrover, the MTR expressly recommends that incorporated within the Steering Committee, and not through an additional governance of advisory body.  Consideration should be given to inviting technical rather than political or startegic representatives, for a deardonisation or energy access. Technical representatives are more likely to provide context experience with energy- related programming, all of which are essential for shaping orcelible and actionable SC decisions.  Colven the thoroad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to proposes an alternative for the process.  However, some MTR finding considerations finding could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have alterately					
Moreover, the MTR expresentation be expressly recommends that this representation be expressly recommend that the propriet of advisory bedy.  Consideration should be given and additional governance of advisory body.  Consideration should be given to inviting technical rather than political or strategic representatives, for example each agency lead on destarbonisation or strategic representatives are more likely to provide contest-specific insight and direct experience with energy related programming, all of which are essential for actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5:  Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an atternative restructuring agenda.  However, some MTR findings considerations may validate decisions and directions that the wal deaded process.					
expressly recommends that this representation be incorporated within the Steering Committee, and Steering Committee of Steering Committe					
this representation be incorporated within the Steering Committee, and Steering Committee Commit					
incorporated within the Steering Committee, and not through an additional governance of advisory body.  Consideration should be given to inviting technical rather than political or strategic representatives, for example each general search of the strategic representatives are more likely to provide context-specific insight and direct experience with energy which are essential for shaping credible and actionals 82 Gedesions.  Recommendation 5:  Recommendation 5:  Recommendation 5:  Recommendation 5:  Recommendation 6:  Re					
Steering Committee, and not through an additional governance of advisory body.  Consideration should be given to inviting technical rather than political or strategir expresentatives, for example each agency's lead on decarbonisation or energy access. Technical rather than political or strategir expresentatives, for example each agency's lead on decarbonisation or energy access. Technical rather than political than the strategir expression of the strategir expression or expression of the strategir expression or exp					
not through an additional governance of advisory body.  Consideration should be given to inviting technical grapher than political or strategic representatives, for example each agency's lead on decarbonisation or energy access. Technical representatives are more likely to provide context-specific insight and direct experience with other provides and additional special provides and additional special sp					
consideration should be given to inviting technical rather than political or strategio representatives, for each given to inviting technical rather than political or strategio representatives, for each given to the strategion or energy access. Technical representatives are more likely to provide context-specific insight and direct experience with energy-related programming, all of which are essential for shaping credible and actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5  Given the broad, stong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the TR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already	Steering Committee, and				
Consideration should be given to inviting technical rather than political or several process. The political or several political	not through an additional				
Consideration should be given to inviting technical rather than political or strategic representatives, for example each agency's lead on decarbonisation or example each agency's lead on decarbonisation or example each size of the example each si	governance of advisory				
given to inviting technical rather than political or strategic representatives, for example each agency's lead on decarbonisation or energy access. Technical representatives are more likely to provide context-specific insight and direct experience with energy-related programming, all of which are essential for shaping credible and actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5:  Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring spenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
given to inviting technical rather than political or strategic representatives, for example each agency's lead on decarbonisation or energy access. Technical representatives are more likely to provide context-specific insight and direct experience with energy-related programming, all of which are essential for shaping credible and actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5:  Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring spenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
given to inviting technical rather than political or strategic representatives, for example each agency's lead on decarbonisation or energy access. Technical representatives are more likely to provide context-specific insight and direct experience with energy-related programming, all of which are essential for shaping credible and actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5:  Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring spenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already	Consideration should be				
rather than political or strategic representatives, for example each agency's lead on decarbonisation or energy access. Technical representatives are more likely to provide context-specific Insight and direct experience with energy-related programming, all of the provide context of the provide con					
strategic representatives, for example each agency's lead on decarbonisation or energy access. Technical representatives are more likely to provide context-specific insight and direct experience with energy-related programming, all of which are essential for shaping credible and actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5:  Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring genda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
example each agency's lead on decarbonisation or energy access. Technical representatives are more likely to provide context-specific insight and direct experience with energy-related programming, all of which are essential for shaping credible and actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5: Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
on decarbonisation or energy access. Technical representatives are more likely to provide context-specific insight and direct experience with energy-related programming, all of which are essential for shaping credible and actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5: Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
energy access. Technical representatives are more likely to provide context-specific insight and direct experience with energy-related programming, all of which are essential for shaping credible and actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5: Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be apopropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
representatives are more likely to provide context-specific insight and direct experience with energy-related programming, all of which are essential for shaping credible and actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5: Given the broad, stong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agends. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
likely to provide context-specific insight and direct experience with energy-related programming, all of which are essential for shaping credible and actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5: Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be apropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
specific insight and direct experience with energy-related programming, all of which are essential for shaping credible and actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5: Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
experience with energy- related programming, all of which are essential for shaping credible and actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5: Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
related programming, all of which are essential for shaping credible and actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5: Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
which are essential for shaping credible and actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5: Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
shaping credible and actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5: Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
actionable SC decisions.  Recommendation 5: Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
Recommendation 5: Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already	shaping credible and				
Given the broad, strong support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already	actionable SC decisions.				
support for the restructuring process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already	Given the broad, strong				
process and in particular the strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already	support for the restructuring				
strategic direction being developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already	process and in particular the				
developed, it would neither be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already	1				
be appropriate nor constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
constructive for the MTR to propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
propose an alternative restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already	constructive for the MTR to				
restructuring agenda. However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already	propose an alternative				
However, some MTR findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
findings could be instructive for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
for the process.  The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already					
The following considerations may validate decisions and directions that have already	_	5			
may validate decisions and directions that have already	for the process.				
may validate decisions and directions that have already					
directions that have already	-				
	may validate decisions and				
	directions that have already				
song talon, but mili					
	some with				

findings indicate that a restructured PLF should.  Maintain a focus on both decarbonisation and energy access  Retain the MPTF modality, focusing in modality to bring in new ideas, solutions and partners that modality to bring in new ideas, solutions and partners that impair auditanable impact  Strengthen localisation and incountry oversight and implementation  Formally incorporate  UNCDF's finance and de-risking expertise  To support the process, the restructuring in timeline should be extended until hypically have an inception phase of 12 months. DIFE's initial implementation was the impact of the process, and the current phase of 12 months. DIFE's initial implementation was the impact of the process, and the current restructuring phase and the current restructuring process essentially completes a complete and the process of the phase would help to void repeating the same humed present and in the current restructuring phase would help to void repeating the same humed successful regulation of the MTR recommendation. And the current performs and the process are successfully complete and the process of the current performs and the process of the process of the current performs and the process of the current performs and the process of the current performs and the process of the process of the current performs and the process of the process of the current performs and the process of the process					
restructured DHE should:  Maintain a focus on Aboth decarbonisation and energy access  Retain the MPTF modality focusing in particular on using the new ideas, solutions and partners that deliver sustnisable impact  Strengthen I consistion and in- localisation and de- risking expertise  To support the process, the restructuring the interest of the interest of the interest of the March 2026. MPTFs Typically have an inospition phase of 12 months. DHEs initial implementation was indial implementation of the indialy implementation of the india	findings indicate that a				
Maintain a focus on both decarbonisation and energy access Retain the MPTF modality, focusing in particular on using the modality to bring in raw ideas, solutions the modality to bring in raw ideas, solutions the district of the modality		1			
both decarbonisation and energy access  Retain the MPTF modality, focusing in particular on using the modality, focusing in particular on using the move ideas, solutions and partners that deliver sustainable impact  Strengthen and incountry oversight and implementation capacity  Formally incorporate UNCDP's finance and de-risking expertise  To support the process, the restructuring it mediate the support of the process of the proces of the process of the process of the process of the process of t		1			
and energy access Retain the MPTF modality, focusing in particular on using the modality to bring in and partners that deliver austainable impact  Strengthen localisation and in- country oversight and implementation  Formally incorporate UNICOPE finance and de-risking expertise  To support the process, the restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026 MPTE- Syptesily have an inception initial implementation was undemined by a short inception phase, and the corrent restructuring process sesentially modernic and the correct modernic and the first of the correct modernic and the first of the correct modernic and the first of the first of the correct modernic and the first of the first o					
Retain the MPTF modality, focusing in particular on using the modality to bring in new ideas, solutions and partners that will be sustainable to bring in new ideas, solutions and partners that will be sustainable to be sustainab	both decarbonisation				
modality, focusing in particular on using the modality to bring in new ideas, solutions and partners that discontinuous control of the partners with the par	and energy access				
modality, focusing in particular on using the modality to bring in new ideas, solutions and partners that discontinuous control of the partners with the par	- Potain the MPTE				
particular on using the modality to bring in new ideas, solutions and the control of the control					
modality to bring in new ideas, solutions and partners that deliver sustainable impact  Strengthen and in- localisation and in- localis	nodality, locusing in				
new ideas, solutions and partners that deliver sustainable impact  • Strengthen localisation and incountry oversight and implementation capacity  • Formally incorporate UNCDF's finance and de-risking expertise  To support the process, the restructuring strength in the process of the process					
and partners that deliver sustainable impact  Strengthen localisation and incountry oversight and implementation capacity  Formally incorporate UNCDF's finance and de-risking expertise  Support the process, the restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026. MPTF's typically have an inception phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the process assentially comprises a readesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially implementation of the MTR recommendations, and process that DHE initially implementation of the MTR recommendations, and the procure of the process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and procured the process of the processive of the processiv			1		
deliver sustainable impact  Strengthen localisation and incountry oversight and implementation capacity  Formally incorporate UNCDF's finance and de-fishing expertise  To support the process, the restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026. MPTFS places of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current restructuring process essentially comprises a redesign of the wide Fund. A less time of the formal phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve under the MTR recommendations, and by the MTR recommendations of the MTR recommendations, and would improve use the MTR recommendation of the MTR recommendation delivering successful results.					
impact  Strengthen localisation and in- country oversight and implementation capacity  Formally incorporate UNCDF's finance and de-fisking expertise  To support the process, the restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026. MPTFs typically have an inception phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current current restructuring comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time- pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from would support implementation of the MTR recommendations.  And Recommendations.  And Recommendations delivering successful results.  Recommendations delivering successful results.					
Strengthen localisation and incountry oversight and implementation capacity Formally incorporate UKCDF's finance and de-risking expertise  To support the process, the restructuring timeline should be extended until the strength of the st					
localisation and in- country oversight and implementation capacity  Formally incorporate UNCDF's finance and de-risking expertise  To support the process, the restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026. MPTFs typically have an inception phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current country over the process of the expectation whole Fund. A less time- pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.	impact	i l			
localisation and in- country oversight and implementation capacity  Formally incorporate UNCDF's finance and de-risking expertise  To support the process, the restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026. MPTFs typically have an inception phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current country over the process of the expectation whole Fund. A less time- pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.	Strengthen	i l			
implementation capacity Formally incorporate UNCDFS finance and de-risking expertise To support the process, the restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026. MPTFS typically have an inception phase of 12 months. OHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current enstructuring proproprises a redesimetally experted by the short inception phase, and the current enstructuring proproprises a redesimetally experted by the short inception phase, and the current persure the short inception phase, and the current enstructuring proprises a redesimetally experted by the short inception phase would help to avoid repeating the same burried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start	localisation and in-				
implementation capacity Formally incorporate UNCDFS finance and de-risking expertise To support the process, the restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026. MPTFS typically have an inception phase of 12 months. OHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current enstructuring proproprises a redesimetally experted by the short inception phase, and the current enstructuring proproprises a redesimetally experted by the short inception phase, and the current persure the short inception phase, and the current enstructuring proprises a redesimetally experted by the short inception phase would help to avoid repeating the same burried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start	country oversight and	i l			
capacity  Formally incorporate UNCDF's finance and de-risking expertise  To support the process, the restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026. MPTFs typically have an inception phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current restructuring process essentially comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time- pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.					
Formally incorporate UNCDF's finance and de-fisking expertise  To support the process, the restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026. MPT's typically have an inception phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current process and the current process essentially comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring process restricturing phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.		i l			
UNCDF's finance and de-fisking expertise  To support the process, the restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026. MPTFs typically have an inception phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current restructuring process essentially comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.	1	i			
de-risking expertise To support the process, the restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026. MPTFs typically have an inception phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current restructuring process essentially comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.	Formally incorporate	i l			
To support the process, the restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026. MPTFs typically have an inception phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current restructuring process a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.	UNCDF'S finance and	1			
restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026. MPTFs typically have an inception phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current restructuring process essentially comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 5: Start	de-risking expertise	1			
restructuring timeline should be extended until March 2026. MPTFs typically have an inception phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current restructuring process essentially comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 5: Start	To support the process, the	1			
should be extended until March 2026. MPTFs typically have an inception phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current restructuring process essentially comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same furried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start		i			
March 2026. MPTFs typically have an inception phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current restructuring process essentially comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6 Start		i l			
typically have an inception phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current restructuring process essentially comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.		i			
phase of 12 months. DHE's initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current restructuring process essentially comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start		1			
initial implementation was undermined by a short inception phase, and the current restructuring process essentially comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start	phase of 12 months, DHE's				
undermined by a short inception phase, and the current restructuring process essentially comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start	initial implementation was				
inception phase, and the current restructuring process essentially comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start					
current restructuring process essentially comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start					
process essentially comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start					
comprises a redesign of the whole Fund. A less time-pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start					
whole Fund. A less time- pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start					
pressured restructuring phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start					
phase would help to avoid repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start					
repeating the same hurried process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start		i l			
process that DHE initially suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start					
suffered from, would support implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start		1			
implementation of the MTR recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start	suffered from, would support	1			
recommendations, and would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start		1			
would improve the probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start					
probability of the current DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start					
DHE contribution delivering successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start	probability of the current				
Successful results.  Recommendation 6: Start	DHE contribution delivering				
Recommendation 6: Start		1			
	approaching new				
potential contributors					
sooner rather than later.					
As DHE's renewed direction					
and structure crystallises					
and confidence rebuilds,					
efforts should be made to					
	and to				

solicit interest and input from				
potential contributors. While				
this may seem premature at				
the moment, the opportunity				
to influence DHE's current				
change process is likely to				
be attractive to new donors,				
allowing them to improve the				
Fund's alignment with their				
priorities. Aside from				
securing additional				
resources, new contributors				
are likely to also improve				
DHE governance, and				
should take some pressure				
off GFFO.				
Recommendation 7:				
Build a systematic				
approach to MEL, starting				
with reformulation of the				
TOC. The current TOC is				
poorly formulated, does not				
reflect a shared				
understanding of DHE's	1			
purpose and - in any case -				
the restructuring and				
refocusing of the Fund				
necessitates a revised TOC.				
The revision process				
(already initiated by the				
DHE) should also help to				
build consensus and clarity				
across DHE's stakeholders				
around the Fund's new				
direction. Strengthening of				
the DHE's approach to MEL				
(again, already initiated by				
the DHE) should include a				
focus on ensuring consistent				
collection of outcome and				
impact level data, and on				
building processes for				
adaptive management.				

Name of Steering Committee Chair	Date	Signature
Name of Acting Secretariat Lead	Date	Signature