

NATIONAL BIODIVERSITY STRATEGIES: LINKS TO INTERNATIONAL FRAMEWORKS AND EXPERIENCE GAINED FROM IMPLEMENTATION


Carl Kojo Fiati
Director, Natural Resources
Environmental Protection Agency
Starlet 91 street,
Accra, Ghana
Carl.fiati@epa.gov.gh; cfiati@hotmail.com,




Background and Context

Article 6 of CBD calls for countries to:

Develop national strategies, plans or programmes for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, or adapt, for this purpose, existing strategies, plans or programmes which shall reflect, *inter alia*, the measures set out in the Convention relevant to the Contracting Party concerned

- 
- The first National Biodiversity Strategy for Ghana was prepared in 2002
 - An associated Action Plan was not prepared except a list of intended actions .
 - Degradation of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services had accelerated (Cost of environmental degradation had increased from 5% of GDP in 1999 to 10% by 2006).
 - Cost of degradation of Biodiversity to the economy accounted for 6% of GDP
 - Ghana was losing about USD 560 million annually

- 
- The Millenium Ecosystem Assessment Report of 2005 indicates that about 60% of ecosystem services are being degraded or used unsustainably
 - Global Biodiversity Outlook Report (2010) show that the world's biodiversity continued to decline rapidly
 - *Targets agreed by governments in 2002 had not been met (CBD, 2010)*
 - Parties to CBD adopted the Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011-2020 and its Aichi Targets (CBD COP Decision X/2)

National Strategies and International Frameworks (Pre- 2011)

A number sectors had Action Plans specific to related Multilateral Environmental Agreement

- National Wetlands Management Strategies (*Ramsar Convention framework*)
- National Invasive Species Management Strategies (*IUCN framework*)
- Draft National Ballast Water Management Strategy (*Ballast water convention*)

Focus of Sectoral Plans

**INTERNATIONAL
FRAMEWORKS**

**CONSERVATION
STRATEGIES**

CITIES

RAMSAR

CMS

IPGRFA

WHC

CBD

**SPECIES BASED CONSERVATION
STRATEGIES**

**ECOSYSTEM-BASED
CONSERVATION STRATEGIES**



Features of Related Action Plans

- Non-integrated with considerable duplication and fragmented investments targets
- Focused on Conservation projects for remarkable Biodiversity in protected areas/reserves
- Not holistic in addressing underlying causes of biodiversity loss
- Did not enhance strong synergy between programmes objectives and efficiency in investment outputs



Global Biodiversity Strategy 2011 -2020

- Links key objectives of biodiversity related MEAs to 5 broad strategic goals and 20 Aichi targets
- Provides the framework for integration of biodiversity issues into holistic public policy, focusing on conservation and sustainable use of biodiversity for the benefit of the wider population.
- Encourages synergy in the approaches for addressing direct pressures of economic activities, as well as indirect factors of biodiversity loss

Integrating Global Biodiversity Strategy (2011 -2020) into National Policies

Multilateral Environmental Agreements (biodiversity-related)

5 Strategic Goals and 20 Targets

Goal A:
Address
underlying
causes of
biodiversity
loss

Goal B: Reduce
direct pressures
on Biodiversity
and encourage
sustainable use

Goal C:
Improve the
Status of
biodiversity by
safeguarding
ecosystems,
species and
genetic
diversity

Goal D:
Increase the
benefit to
all from
biodiversity

Goal E:
Strengthen
implementation
through
participatory
planning,
knowledge
management,
Capacity
building


ALIGN TARGETS TO NATIONAL PRIORITIES
(specified in existing national plans)

NBSAPs

Features of Ghana's NBSAP

Four strategic objectives (components)

- Address the underlying causes of biodiversity loss by mainstreaming biodiversity into all sectors of government and society programmes;
- improve the status of biodiversity by safeguarding ecosystems, species and genetic diversity;
- enhance the benefits of biodiversity to all sectors of the economy;
- enhance implementation of the national biodiversity action plan through participatory planning, knowledge management and capacity-building.

- 
- The four strategic objectives (and respective priority national strategies) are to be achieved over 25 years, within a three-phase programme (2016-2020, 2021-2030, 2030-2040).
 - The short-term programme (comprise 20 separate action plans) to be implemented up to 2020 has activities that fit into the ongoing national development agenda.

Integrating International Targets to National Policy- Enabling Factors

- Established/identified coordinating mechanisms with clear mandate for the NBSAP process;
- Adopted an inclusive and participatory process;
- Working Principle - ‘not to reinvent the wheel’; integrated priorities in existing biodiversity related action plans, as much as necessary, and aligned them to Aichi targets;
- Adoption of NBSAP as an overarching policy for integrating biodiversity issues into national development agenda (political process).
- Mainstream NBSAP into strategic national short –term to medium-term development plan (involvement of NDPC in the entire process)

Implementation

- Implementation of priority actions in some sectors are ongoing (*Notwithstanding the fact that cabinet is yet to adopt the NABSAP*)
- Projected budget required for implementation of short-term measures (2017-2020) is USD 534.5million
- Inter-sectoral coordination of implementation is generally weak (*partly due to delay in formal adoption*)

- 
- Significant inter-sectoral coordination and synergy achieved for some actions for the Forest, wildlife, agriculture and environment sectors involving the use of GEF-6 Star allocations
 - Public-Private Partnership arrangements have helped to reduce the funding gap, particularly, in the area of restoration of degraded forest landscape.



Challenges

- Political transitions have served to delay the preparation and adoption of the NBSAP in Ghana and in a few other countries (especially, where coordination of the process rests on a Ministry rather than a state department with adequate mandate).
- Diffusion of conservation agriculture technology is not catching pace with degradation of agriculture landscapes (*traditional farming systems accommodated and promoted conservation agriculture*).
- Huge funding gap limits capacity to achieve short-term targets.

Lessons Learned

- Participatory and inclusive approaches enables appropriate linkages of NBSAPs with international strategic frameworks to be achieve in an integrated way.
- Political adoption of NBSAP is an important factor for spinning off stronger collaboration and synergy.
- Global co-funding and Multi-donor budgetary support arrangements can provide strong impetus for forging national collaboration, integration and synergy between sectors.
- An enabling policy environment can help in leveraging private sector funding to support implementation of NBSAPs



I THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION